ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACOUELINE CORLEY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))

PAGES 1 - 42

PLAINTIFF,)

VS.

NO. C 13-00764WHO

LUPE MERCADO,

DEFENDANT.) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2013

TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF: MELINDA L. HAAG, ESQ.

> UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 450 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102

BY: WILLIAM FRENTZEN,

DAMALI A. TAYLOR,

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

LAW OFFICE OF TONY TAMBURELLO FOR DEFENDANT:

214 DUBOCE AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103

BY: TONY TAMBURELLO, ATTORNEY AT LAW

MOHAMMAD A. HAMOUDI, ATTORNEY AT LAW

1000 BRANNAN STREET, SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103

RAYNEE H. MERCADO TRANSCRIBED BY:

PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC RECORDING DEVICE; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.

1	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2013 9:27 A.M.
2	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED PROCEEDINGS
3	MR. FRENTZEN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. WILLIAM
4	FRENTZEN AND DAMALI TAYLOR FOR THE GOVERNMENT.
5	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
6	MR. TAMBURELLO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. TONY
7	TAMBURELLO AND MR. MOHAMMAD HAMUDI FOR MS. LUPE MERCADO.
8	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
9	GOOD MORNING, MS. MERCADO.
10	THE DEFENDANT: GOOD MORNING.
11	THE COURT: FIRST, MR. TAMBURELLO, DO YOU HAVE A COPY
12	OF THE FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT?
13	MR. TAMBURELLO: I'M HAVING HER SIGN IT.
14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
15	(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. MERCADO, I'VE REVIEWED
17	THE AFFIDAVIT YOU SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY. I FIND YOU
18	QUALIFY FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, SO MR. TAMBURELLO, WHO HAS
19	BEEN REPRESENTING YOU FOR THE PAST YEAR AND A HALF, WILL
20	CONTINUE TO REPRESENT YOU. HE IS NOW YOUR ATTORNEY IN THIS
21	CASE FOR ALL PURPOSES.
22	THE DEFENDANT: THANK YOU.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
24	HAVE THE PARTIES HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE
0.5	

PRETRIAL REPORT?

MR. TAMBURELLO: YES, I JUST REVIEWED IT, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 MR. FRENTZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHO WANTS TO GO FIRST? MR. FRENTZEN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I'M AT THE COURT'S 4 5 PLEASURE. IT'S A PRESUMPTION CASE. WE HEARD A LOT FROM 6 MR. TAMBURELLO LAST TIME WE WERE HERE. I'M PREPARED TO 7 ADDRESS SOME OF THAT. I THINK I'M PREPARED TO ADDRESS 8 EVERYTHING ELSE. I'M HAPPY TO GO FIRST, OR IF 9 MR. TAMBURELLO WANTS TO GO FIRST -- I'M AT THE COURT'S --COURT'S PLEASURE. 10 11 MR. TAMBURELLO: OF COURSE, YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY TO GO FIRST ALSO. SO I THINK GIVEN THE REPORT YOU MIGHT WANT TO 12 13 HEAR FROM ME, I GUESS. THE COURT: I DO. I THINK IN LIGHT OF THE REPORT, 14 15 I'LL HEAR FROM MR. TAMBURELLO FIRST. AND THEN, MR. FRENTZEN, YOU CAN RESPOND. AND WE HAVE TIME. THE PARTIES CAN TAKE AS 16 MUCH TIME AS THEY LIKE THIS MORNING. 17 18 MR. TAMBURELLO: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. 19 SO THE REPORT -- THE REPORT DOES -- I SHOULD POINT OUT IT 20 DOES TALK ABOUT ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS OF VIOLENCE. I'LL 21 GO RIGHT TO THAT. IT WAS A BATTERY THAT WAS A DETENTION ON 22 5/4/2009. THERE'S NO PROOF SHE POURED SOFT DRINKS (SIC) --23 GENERALLY SPEAKING, IF IT'S A DETENTION ONLY, THAT'S WHAT IT

I THINK IT'S NOT RELEVANT BECAUSE IT'S NOT REAL. THAT'S --

24

25

MEANS. THERE'S NO CHARGES. AND IT REALLY DOESN'T HAVE ANY --

THAT'S NUMBER ONE.

THE COURT: I GUESS THE MORE SIGNIFICANT MATTER WOULD BE THE MATTER OF THE LOS ANGELES....

MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT. AND I -- I WILL GO TO THAT IN A SECOND.

FIRST, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, JUST SO -- SO THAT MY CLIENT UNDERSTANDS THIS AS WELL. I KNOW THE COURT IS AWARE OF IT, BUT COUNTS ONE AND TWO, CRIMES OF VIOLENCE, AND THAT IT'S A PRESUMPTION. WE -- WE CONCEDE THAT.

AND OUR COURTS HAVE RULED THAT AN INDICTMENT IS SUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH PROBABLE CAUSE UNDER 3142. WE -- WE KNOW THAT, TOO. YET WE KNOW THAT INDICTMENT IS SIMPLY AN ACCUSATION. IT IS A CHARGING DOCUMENT. AND AT THIS STAGE, THAT'S ALL IT IS.

3142(J) REMINDS US THAT NOTHING IN SECTION 3142 SHOULD BE CONSTRUED AS MODIFYING OR LIMITING A PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, AND I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT. I THINK A LOT OF TIMES WE FORGET THAT.

THE GOVERNMENT'S RELIANCE ON THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE CHARGE ALONE IS MISPLACED BECAUSE IN THE VICAR MURDER CASE OF <u>U.S.</u>

VS. <u>EISCHEID</u>, E-I-S-C-H-E-I-D, 315 FEDERAL SUPPLEMENT 2D 1033,

THERE WAS A PRETRIAL RELEASE ORDER IN THAT CASE. IT WAS A

VICAR MURDER. IT'S NOT LIKE THERE'S -- IT'S IMPOSSIBLE, AND

SO JUST BECAUSE THERE IS A CHARGE OF VICAR MURDER DOES NOT

MEAN THAT THIS COURT SHOULD THROW ITS HAND UP IN THE AIR AND

NOT DO ANYTHING.

LET'S LOOK AT THE INDICTMENT. IT'S VAGUE AND CONCLUSORY.

IT DEFINES A RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE AND PROCLAIMS THAT

CENTRAL DIVISION (SIC) -- DIVISADERO PLAYAS, AKA CDP, IS A

VIOLENT STREET GANG, WHOSE MEMBERS WEAR GANG TATTOOS AND ARE

KNOWN BY GANG MONIKERS.

MS. MERCADO HAS NO GANG TATTOOS. MS. MERCADO HAS NO

MERCA- -- MONIKERS. SHE IS LUPE MERCADO. SHE IS THE MOTHER

OF FOUR CHILDREN AND HAS BEEN IN A RELATIONSHIP WITH BARRY

GILTON FOR OVER 20 YEARS.

THEY'RE USING THAT PARTICULAR ASPECT BECAUSE I KNOW,

ACCORDING TO THE REPORT AND ACCORDING TO AGENT MILLSPAUGH,

THEY KNOW SHE'S NOT A GANG MEMBER. BUT THEY SAY SHE'S AN

ASSOCIATE BECAUSE SHE'S MARRIED TO BARRY GILTON.

THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO SAY BARRY GILTON'S NOT A

GANG MEMBER EITHER. HE IS -- HE'S A WORKER. HE'S BEEN A MUNI

DRIVER FOR A LONG TIME, AND SO IT'S -- IT'S REALLY -- GUILT BY

ASSOCIATION. IT'S THE WORST KIND OF -- KIND OF -- KIND OF -
KIND OF THEORY THAT I THINK WE CAN HAVE.

BUT THE MOST REVEALING ASPECT, YOUR HONOR, OF THE

INDICTMENT, THE MOST REVEALING ASPECT IS COUNT FOUR BECAUSE

IT -- IT'S A VIEW OF WHAT THE GOVERNMENT REALLY BELIEVES THE

CASE IS. AND THAT IS -- AND YOU -- YOU KNOW, MAYBE -- MAYBE I

DON'T HAVE THE PERSPECTIVE OF THIS COURT. BUT FROM MY

PERSPECTIVE, WHENEVER THERE'S A CHARGE OF A RICO CASE WITH A

VICAR MURDER, THEY DON'T CHARGE ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT.

THE DEFENDANT IS CHARGED AS A PRINCIPAL AIDER AND ABETTOR,

NOT AN ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT. I SUBMIT THAT BY DEFINITION,

AN ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT IS A CHARGE THAT IS ONE THAT SHOWS

THAT HER INVOLVEMENT, ACCORDING TO THE GOVERNMENT, THAT

OCCURRED AFTER THE VIOLENT ACT OF JUNE 4TH 2012.

SO IN OTHER WORDS, SHE'S NOT REALLY AN AIDER AND ABETTOR

AND A PRINCIPAL. THEY'RE SAYING SHE'S A (SIC) ACCESSORY AFTER

THE FACT. I -- I THINK THAT THAT'S IMPORTANT, 'CAUSE I THINK

THAT'S WHAT THEIR THEORY IS.

SHE UNDERSTANDS THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE CRIMES CHARGED.

THROUGH HER WHOLE LIFE, SHE HAS NEVER DEMONSTRATED A

PROPENSITY FOR VIOLENCE. THE COURT IS AWARE THAT THE NINTH

CIRCUIT SAID PRETRIAL RELEASE SHOULD BE DENIED ONLY IN RARE

CIRCUMSTANCES, AND DOUBTS REGARDING THE PROPRIETY OF RELEASE

SHOULD BE RESOLVED IN FAVOR OF THE DEFENDANT.

AT THE TIME OF HER ARREST ON JUNE 9TH, SHE HAS -- JUNE 9TH, 2012, SHE HAS BEEN IN CUSTODY SINCE THAT TIME. THE PROBLEM WAS THAT THE BAIL THAT WAS SET BY THE STATE COURT WAS SUCH THAT THERE'S NO WAY THAT ANYBODY CAN MAKE BOND. THEY TYPICALLY SET BAILS 1, 2, \$3 MILLION. THEY'RE JUST -- IT'S JUST NOT SOMETHING THAT MS. MERCADO CAN DO.

HERE, WE HAVE A DIFFERENT SITUATION. THE FEDERAL LAW SAYS

THAT PRETRIAL RELEASE SHOULD BE THE RULE RATHER THAN THE

EXCEPTION. WE HAVE THE PRESUMPTIONS, BUT SHE HAS NO VIOLENCE

IN HER PAST. THERE WERE NO GUNS OR WEAPONS FOUND DURING THE

SEARCH OF THE HOUSE. SHE WAS NOT PRESENT AT THE SCENE OF THE SHOOTING ON JUNE 4TH, 2012, AND -- AND THE GOVERNMENT KNOWS

THAT. SHE DID NOT POSSESS OR SHOOT A GUN ON JUNE 4TH, AND THE GOVERNMENT KNOWS THAT. SHE DID NOT EVEN KNOW THE PERSON WHO WAS SHOT CALVIN SNEED, NEVER MET HIM, DIDN'T EVEN KNOW WHAT HE LOOKS LIKE.

I CAN ONLY SAY --

THE COURT: HOW -- HOW MIGHT -- HOW MIGHT -WHERE'S THE -- THEN WE GO BACK TO THE LOS ANGELES INCIDENT AS
WELL, 'CAUSE THAT SEEMS TO AT LEAST CONTRADICT WHAT IS IN THE
REPORT WITH RESPECT TO WHAT HAPPENED IN LOS ANGELES.

MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT. AND THAT'S -- AND THAT'S -- AND THAT'S -- AND THAT'S THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE ARGUMENT, AND THAT'S WHERE I'M HEADED FOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. TAMBURELLO: THE LOS ANGELES INCIDENT. CALVIN SNEED WAS A NUTTY BLOCC CRIP. HE WAS A GANG MEMBER. HE WAS A PIMP. HE DID ALL KINDS OF ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES. THERE CAME A POINT PRIOR TO JANUARY OF 2011 WHERE CALVIN SNEED WAS INVOLVED IN A SHOOTOUT WHERE THERE WAS A MURDER. CALVIN SNEED THEN TURNS STATES EVIDENCE AND PROVIDED EVIDENCE AGAINST HIS FELLOW NUTTY BLOCC CRIP MEMBER.

FROM THAT DATE ONWARD, AND FROM THE TESTIMONY, A GREEN LIGHT WENT OUT ON HIM. NOW, I'M ASSUMING THE COURT UNDERSTANDS WHAT A GREEN LIGHT IS.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. TAMBURELLO: THAT GREEN LIGHT RESULTED IN HIS
BEING SHOT ON JANUARY OF 2011 AND SHOT OTHER TIMES. THE
AUTOPSY REVEALED NOT ONLY THE WOUNDS FROM 2011, BUT BULLETS -THEY'RE CALLED BULLETS -- THAT WERE STILL IN HIS BODY, ONE OF
THEM WRAPPED IN A PIECE OF UNITED STATES CURRENCY. HE HAD
SHOTS IN HIS LEGS. HE HAD SHOTS IN HIS ARMS. HE WAS SHOT UP
A NUMBER OF TIMES. HE IS (SIC) A TOTAL TARGET IN LOS ANGELES.

AND I SAY THAT BECAUSE THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAD MOTIVES TO SHOOT HIM ON MAY 27TH, 2012. AND EVERYBODY'S IGNORING THAT. AND IT SHOULD NOT BE IGNORED.

FURTHERMORE, MS. MERCADO, IN HER INTERVIEW WITH THE POLICE IN SAN FRANCISCO, CLEARLY -- CLEARLY TOLD THEM THAT SHE WENT DOWN TO LOS ANGELES ON MAY 25TH TO TALK WITH HER DAUGHTER BECAUSE SHE SUSPECTED THAT HER DAUGHTER WAS INVOLVED IN PROSTITUTION, AND SHE WAS HEART BROKEN AND UPSET, AND SHE WANTED HER TO COME HOME. SHE SAID THAT SHE WAS THERE.

GUESS WHAT? BARRY GILTON, THE ONE SHE HAS THE
RELATIONSHIP AND HER FOUR CHILDREN WITH, WAS NOT THERE. HE
WAS NOT THERE.

THERE ARE PEOPLE IN THIS AUDIENCE, HER FAMILY, WHO KNOWS (SIC) SHE WENT DOWN THERE FOR THAT PURPOSE. AND ULTIMATELY, SHE STAYED DOWN THERE, BUT WAS UNABLE TO CONVINCE LETICIA TO COME BACK HOME.

BARRY GILTON DID GO DOWN ON THE MORNING OF MAY 27TH,

1 UNBEKNOWNST TO LUPE MERCADO, AND SHOWED UP THERE AND WAS GOING 2 TO ASK HER TO COME BACK. 3 SO HER RESPONSE DURING THE -- DURING THE QUESTIONING WAS THAT, "DID YOU GO DOWN TO LOS ANGELES WITH BARRY GILTON?" 4 5 SHE SAID NO. AND THAT'S TRUE. SHE DID NOT. 6 THE THEORY THAT THEY SHOT AT MR. SNEED ON MAY 27TH IS SO 7 BOGUS THAT IT WOULD MAKE ANYBODY'S HEAD TURN THAT THEY'RE SAYING THAT. AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING THAT TOOK PLACE IN 8 9 SAN FRANCISCO, SERGEANT ANTHONY KELLER OF THE GANG TASK FORCE 10 IN NORTH HOLLYWOOD TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS. I'LL GIVE YOU A 11 SUMMARY. THERE ARE NO EYEWITNESSES THAT SAW THE SHOOTER. NO ONE 12 13 SAW LUPE MERCADO IN POSSESSION OF A GUN OR BARRY GILTON IN 14 POSSESSION OF A GUN. NOBODY -- NO ONE AT ALL CAN TESTIFY THAT 15 THEY WERE EVEN THERE AT THE SCENE. MORE IMPORTANTLY -- MORE 16 IMPORTANTLY, THE ONLY EYEWITNESS TO THAT EVENT SAID THAT THEY 17 SAW A MAN WHO WAS DESCRIBED WITH THE CLOTHING AND BEING ON THE 18 SURVEILLANCE CAMERA GOING INTO A PARTICULAR DOOR THAT EVERYONE CONCLUDED AT THE TIME OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARING WAS CALVIN 19 20 SNEED. AND THAT WITNESS SAID HE HAD A GUN IN HIS HAND. THAT IS THE SUM TOTAL OF THEIR EVIDENCE ABOUT THE SHOOTING 21 22

ON MAY 27TH.

THE POLICE IN LOS ANGELES HAVE NEVER IMPLICATED LUPE MERCADO, NEVER EVEN SAID SHE WAS A SUSPECT.

23

24

25

WHY IS IT BEING SAID TODAY THAT THEY WENT DOWN THERE?

1 I WILL PREEMPT MR. FRENTZEN'S PRESENTATION AND PROFFER. 2 IT'S BASED UPON AN INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS -- KNOWN AS RON JONES 3 WHO HAPPENS TO BE A PERSON THAT'S MARRIED TO BARRY GILTON'S 4 SISTER. HE HAS BEEN DIAGNOSED AS BIPOLAR AND SCHIZOPHRENIC. 5 AND AT THE TIME -- AND AT THE TIME OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARING, 6 HE WAS NOT ONLY REVEALED TO BE THE INFORMANT THAT MR. -- AGENT 7 MILLSPAUGH SEEMS TO BE RELYING ON BUT THAT HE WAS UNABLE TO TESTIFY UNTIL WELL AFTER THE PRELIMINARY HEARING WOULD HAVE 8 9 BEEN CONCLUDED BECAUSE OF HIS MEDICAL AND MENTAL CONDITIONS. FURTHERMORE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE CHAN MADE A FINDING 10 11 THAT HE WAS NOT GOING TO GIVE ANY WEIGHT WHATSOEVER TO THE 12 STATEMENTS OF RON JONES WHATSOEVER BECAUSE WITH RESPECT TO THE 13 MAY 27TH --THE COURT: WHAT PROCEEDING WAS THAT? 14 15 MR. TAMBURELLO: THAT'S THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. 16 THE COURT: IN -- IN --MR. TAMBURELLO: SAN FRANCISCO. 17 18 THE COURT: IN SAN FRANCISCO. MR. TAMBURELLO: YES. 19 20 THE COURT: THEY HAVE MAGISTRATE JUDGES? MR. TAMBURELLO: YES. WELL, I CALL 21 22 THEM MAGISTRATE --23 THE COURT: YOU MEAN --24 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.) 25 MR. TAMBURELLO: THE SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES.

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I WAS --2 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.) MR. TAMBURELLO: -- MAGISTRATES FOR THE 3 4 PRELIMINARY --5 THE COURT: -- FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING. THE JUDGE -- THE SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE PRESIDING OVER THE 6 7 PRELIMINARY HEARING. MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT. EXACTLY. 8 AND THE REASON FOR ALL THAT IS THAT RON JONES ADMITTED 9 10 THAT THIS INFORMATION WAS NOT ANYTHING BUT -- OTHER THAN --11 NOT ONLY HEARSAY BUT DOUBLE HEARSAY AND RUMOR. AND HE HAD A GRUDGE AGAINST LUPE MERCADO BECAUSE SHE HAD DISRESPECTED HIM 12 13 IN PREVIOUS ENCOUNTERS. HE'S A PIECE OF WORK. AND IF THE 14 GOVERNMENT IS RELYING ON THAT, THAT'S A SAD STATE OF AFFAIRS, 15 I HAVE TO SAY. 16 AND DO WE HAVE -- DO WE HAVE WHERE THE MAGISTRATE SAID 17 THAT OR --18 (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.) 19 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) MR. TAMBURELLO: MAY I PASS THIS UP? 20 21 THE COURT: SURE. 22 MR. TAMBURELLO: AND THIS IS A LETTER, YOUR HONOR, 23 THAT WAS WRITTEN BY -- WELL, IT'S NOT A LETTER. IT'S AN EMAIL BUT -- THEY DON'T HAVE LETTERS ANYMORE. THIS IS BY HARRY 24 25 DORFMAN, WHO WAS THE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY, WHO IS NOW JUDGE

1 DORFMAN. AND EXPLAINING WHY RON JONES IS NOT ABLE TO TESTIFY. 2 THE COURT: RIGHT, BUT YOU HAD THE PRELIMINARY 3 HEARING AND THE JUDGE FOUND PROBABLE CAUSE TO GO FORWARD ON 4 THE MURDER CHARGE. 5 MR. TAMBURELLO: HE DID. THE COURT: RIGHT. SO -- SO -- AND THIS IS -- THIS 6 IS A DETENTION HEARING. 7 MR. TAMBURELLO: CORRECT. 8 9 THE COURT: SO IT IS TRUE THAT THE MAY INCIDENT WAS 10 BROUGHT UP AS -- AS A REASON FOR -- SO IS YOUR ARGUMENT, THEN, 11 THERE'S NO -- THERE -- ACTUALLY OTHER THAN THE CHARGES IN THIS CASE, IN WHICH THERE'S PROBABLE CAUSE IN LIGHT OF THE 12 13 INDICTMENT -- IN FACT, THERE WAS A PRELIMINARY HEARING TO FIND 14 THE DEFENDANT WAS INVOLVED WITH THAT -- THAT THERE ISN'T ANY 15 HISTORY OF VIOLENCE, AND SO NOTWITHSTANDING THE CRIMINAL 16 HISTORY INVOLVING PETTY THEFT, YOU'D SAY THERE IS NO CLEAR AND 17 CONVINCING EVIDENCE OF A DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY? 18 MR. TAMBURELLO: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT. 19 THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. 20 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. TAMBURELLO: I MEAN, THERE ARE -- THERE ARE CASES 21 22 WHERE THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE, BUT THE MAGISTRATE UNDER THE 23 BAIL REFORM ACT CAN FIND CONDITIONS THAT COULD SATISFY --THE COURT: WELL, THERE'S ALWAYS PROBABLE CAUSE. I 24

MEAN, WHENEVER WE HAVE AN INDICTMENT, WE ALWAYS HAVE PROBABLE

25

CAUSE.

MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT, EXACTLY.

THE COURT: I WAS JUST SAYING BECAUSE -- WE'RE

TALKING A LOT ABOUT THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE, WHICH IS, OF

COURSE, THE LEAST IMPORTANT FACTOR. BUT I -- BUT THAT'S WHY

I'M TRYING TO GO FIGURE OUT. BUT I UNDERSTAND IT'S GOING TO

WHETHER THERE'S A HISTORY OF VIOLENCE AND WHETHER THERE'S A

DANGER.

MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT.

THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE RISK OF FLIGHT GIVEN THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE -- OF THE CHARGES? WELL, AND -- AND -- THE CONSEQUENCES REALLY IS --

MR. TAMBURELLO: WELL, THAT -- THAT HAPPENS IN EVERY

CASE, BUT THEN YOU BALANCE THAT AGAINST WHO SHE IS AS A PERSON

AND -- AND -- AND HER TIES TO THE COMMUNITY, HER -- HER

FAMILY.

SHE'S BEEN HERE HER WHOLE LIFE. SHE'S NEVER -- SHE

DOESN'T HAVE A PASSPORT. SHE'S NEVER TRAVELED OUT OF THE

COUNTRY. SHE -- SHE HAS FOUR CHILDREN. SHE'S NOT GOING TO -
TO RUN ANY PLACE. SHE COOPERATED WITH THE POLICE THE WHOLE

TIME DURING THEIR INVESTIGATION. SHE DIDN'T RUN. SHE HAD NO

REASON TO RUN.

THE COURT: YOU MEAN BETWEEN MAY AND JUNE COOPERATED WITH THE POLICE?

MR. TAMBURELLO: WELL, BETWEEN -- BETWEEN JUNE 4TH

AND HER TIME OF HER ARREST. YOU KNOW, AND IT'S -- AND IT'S

SHE -- SHE REALLY HAS AN INCREDIBLE SUPPORT SYSTEM, NOT ONLY

WITH FAMILY BUT OF FRIENDS. AND -- AND THEY'RE ALL HERE TO -
TO HELP AND TO SUPPORT AND -- AND ALSO TO PROVIDE WHATEVER IS

NECESSARY BY WAY OF -- OF TRYING TO PRESENT THE COURT WITH

SOME CONDITIONS THAT WILL SATISFY THE COURT THAT SHE IS NOT

GOING TO FLEE.

SHE NEVER ABUSED ALCOHOL. SHE DOESN'T EVEN DRINK ALCOHOL.

SHE DOESN'T USE -- ABUSE DRUGS. I MEAN, HER HISTORY IS -
IS -- IS SHE'S GOT A PROBLEM WITH THEFT. I'M GOING TO SAY IT.

THAT'S TRUE. OKAY? BUT SHE DOESN'T HAVE VIOLENCE.

AND THE COURT MIGHT BE CONCERNED ABOUT THE -- THE BENCH

WARRANTS THAT ARE OUTSTANDING. THOSE OCCURRED BECAUSE SHE WAS

IN CUSTODY. I DON'T SEE THEM AS A PROBLEM WHATSOEVER.

THE COURT: WELL, THEY'RE A PROBLEM NOW. WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS MAYBE THEY COULD BE --

MR. TAMBURELLO: EASILY RESOLVED.

(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)

THE COURT: -- BUT THEY HAVE NOT YET.

MR. TAMBURELLO: RIGHT. EASILY RESOLVED IS MY

OPINION. SO I THINK THE -- I THINK THE COURT, I THINK,

UNDERSTANDS THAT -- THAT -- WHAT I'VE SAID AND -- AND HAS

PROPERLY PUT IT IN PERSPECTIVE SO I'M GOING -- I'M GOING TO

LEAVE IT AT THAT AT THIS POINT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. FRENTZEN?

1 MR. FRENTZEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY TO GO ON FOR A 2 REALLY LONG TIME. I DON'T KNOW IF THE COURT HAS SPECIFIC 3 AREAS THAT IT WANTS ME TO ADDRESS. I -- I CAN JUST --(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOOUY.) 4 5 THE COURT: WELL, WHATEVER YOU THINK'S IMPORTANT. MR. FRENTZEN: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. THEN I'LL 6 7 COVER THE BASIS. THE FIRST ISSUE I THINK THE COURT HAS CORRECTLY POINTED OUT IS, YOU KNOW, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO 8 9 WITH MER- -- MS. MERCADO IF, IN FACT, SHE WERE RELEASED, WHICH 10 OF COURSE WE THINK WOULD BE A BAD DECISION, AND WE'RE GOING TO 11 GET INTO THAT, BUT THERE ARE WARRANTS CURRENTLY FROM SANTA CLARA COUNTY AND FROM SAN MATEO COUNTY. 12 13 I KNOW THAT NEITHER OF THOSE ENTITIES ARE INTERESTED IN DROPPING THOSE WARRANTS. I KNOW ALREADY THAT IN SAN MATEO, 14 15 THE PROBATION OFFICER HAS NOT -- THIS WAS NOT ISSUED BECAUSE 16 SHE NO SHOWED BECAUSE SHE WAS IN CUSTODY. THIS ISSUED BECAUSE 17 THEY BELIEVE SHE HAD VIOLATED THE TERMS OF HER PROBATION, AND 18 THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE PROBATION OFFICE IS THAT SHE BE 19 SENTENCED TO CDCR TO SERVE PRISON TIME ON THE PROBATION THAT 20 SHE'S CURRENTLY ON. SO WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO? ARE WE GOING TO RELEASE HER 21 22

SO THAT SHE CAN GO OFF TO PRISON AND THE MARSHALS HAVE TO GO PICK HER UP EVERY TIME WE HAVE A COURT APPEARANCE? THAT --

THE COURT: JUST SO IT'S CLEAR, THAT -- THAT -- NOT GOING TO HAPPEN, RIGHT? I WOULDN'T RELEASE HER TILL THOSE

23

24

25

WERE CLEARED UP. BUT THE QUESTION REALLY THAT I WANT TO GET IN TODAY IS I DON'T WANT TO THEN CONTINUE THIS MATTER TO HAVE MR. RAM (PHONETIC) CLEAR IT UP THEN -- ONLY FOR ME TO THEN SAY, OKAY, NO, I'M NOT GOING TO RELEASE HER ANYWAY. SO LET'S PUT THOSE ASIDE, BECAUSE I WOULDN'T RELEASE HER AS LONG AS THOSE WARRANTS WERE THERE, AND THAT WOULD BE A HURDLE.

BUT LET'S SAY DOWN THE LINE, THEN TALK -- AND PUT THOSE ASIDES, BECAUSE AS LONG AS THOSE THERE, YOU'RE RIGHT, SHE WOULDN'T BE RELEASED. BUT LET'S SAY DOWN THE LINE MR. TAMBURELLO WAS ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF THEM.

MR. FRENTZEN: WELL, I MEAN, TAKING CARE OF THEM MEANS THAT SOMEHOW SOMEONE BUREAUCRATICALLY GETS BORED AND LETS THEM GO.

WHAT I'M TELLING THE COURT IS THAT BASED ON THE PROBATION OFFICER'S KNOWLEDGE OF MS. MERCADO AND HIS VIEWING OF THE VIOLATION -- AND I KNOW THIS IS A JUST A PROBATION OFFICER, AND THEY HAVEN'T HAD A HEARING OR ANYTHING, BUT HIS VIEW IS SHE NEEDS TO GO SERVE SOME PRISON TIME. SO THAT CLEARLY, I THINK, PUTS THIS IN A CERTAIN LIGHT.

AND I UNDERSTAND SANTA CLARA -- THIS WAS NOT A -- YOU

KNOW, YOU MISSED YOUR COURT APPEARANCE. WHERE ARE YOU? WE

DON'T CARE IF YOU'RE IN JAIL. WE'RE GOING TO ISSUE A WARRANT.

THIS WAS YOU VIOLATED YOUR PROBATION. WE -- WE WANT YOU TO DO

SOME TIME ON IT. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE'RE

LOOKING AT. AND THAT'S BECAUSE OF WHO MS. MERCADO IS.

THE COURT: NO, I GUESS -- I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING -I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING IS I DON'T WANT TO SAY, OKAY, I'M
DETAINING MS. MERCADO BECAUSE OF THOSE WARRANTS, ONLY THEN AND
HAVE MR. TAMBURELLO GO SPEND TIME AND HOURS TRYING TO DO
SOMETHING WITH IT WHEN IT'S NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE,
I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING, SO LET'S SORT OF CUT TO THAT.

MR. FRENTZEN: TOTALLY UNDERSTOOD.

I'M JUST STARTING OUT WITH THAT TO PUT -- TO PUT -- TO
FOCUS THE COURT'S ATTENTION ON THAT. AND I'LL START WHERE I
GUESS MR. TAMBURELLO LED (SIC) OFF WITH AT SORT OF THE END IN
TERMS OF -- NO, YOU KNOW WHAT I'LL GET RIGHT IT.

LET'S GET INTO THE EVIDENCE ONLY BECAUSE SOME OF MY

COMMENTS WITH RESPECT TO MS. MERCADO'S BACKGROUND AND SOME OF

THE ISSUES THAT MR. TAMBURELLO HAS RAISED SORT OF RELATE TO

THAT.

SO MR. TAMBURELLO MADE A BIG DEAL OF MS. MERCADO'S STATUS

AS A PARENT SOMEHOW ENTITLING HER TO RELEASE THAT -- WHEN WE

WERE HERE THE LAST TIME. THIS CASE -- THE INCEPTION OF THIS

CASE OCCURRED WHEN MS. MERCADO'S DAUGHTER LETICIA GILTON WENT

DOWN TO LOS ANGELES TO LIVE WITH A RELATIVE OF MS. MERCADO AND

MR. GILTON.

THAT WAS ANTONIO GILTON, WHO IS A MEMBER OF CDP, WHO, AS

THE COURT MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE SEEN, AT HIS LAST APPEARANCE -
I DON'T KNOW IF THE COURT SAW THE PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL

SERVICES REPORT, BECAUSE WE WEREN'T ARGUING ABOUT DETENTION SO

THE COURT MAY HAVE HAD NO REASON TO SEE IT BUT IS LONG,

LENGTHY CRIMINAL HISTORY FILLED WITH DRUG SALES AND FIREARM

POSSESSIONS. SO THAT'S WHO MS. MERCADO AND MR. GILTON SAW FIT

TO SEND THEIR DAUGHTER DOWN TO LIVE WITH.

NOW WHEN SHE WAS ASKED ABOUT THIS BY THE POLICE, SHE LIED AND SAID SHE WAS SENDING HER DAUGHTER TO LIVE WITH AMBER HERNANDEZ, MR. GILTON'S EITHER WIFE OR COMMONLAW LIVE-IN SPOUSE. I'M SORRY, I JUST -- I CAN'T RECALL AT THIS PARTICULAR MOMENT HOW EVERYBODY'S RELATED, AND LEFT OUT THE PART THAT ANTONIO GILTON WAS IN THE HOME, A -- A GANG MEMBER.

SO SHE SENDS HER -- HER DAUGHTER DOWN TO LIVE THERE, AND SHE THEN ALSO BELIEVES THAT IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO LET HER MINOR DAUGHTER WORK IN AN ESTABLISHMENT CALLED HAPPY DAYS. NOW, HAPPY DAYS IS A MARIJUANA DISPENSARY IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA, BASICALLY GROUND ZERO FOR HOOVER CRIPPS. IT IS ALSO OWNED OR PARTLY OWNED AND RUN BY AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS A FORMER SAN FRANCISCO ASSOCIATE OF CDP, AN INDIVIDUAL BY THE NAME OF JEFF HUNTER.

JEFF HUNTER OWNED A MARIJUANA DISPENSARY CALLED HAPPY DAYS

UP IN SAN FRANCISCO BEFORE HE WENT DOWN TO LOS ANGELES, AND

MS. MERCADO AND BARRY GILTON DECIDED IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO HAVE

THEIR MINOR DAUGHTER GO DOWN TO LOS ANGELES, LIVE WITH A KNOWN

CDP GANG MEMBER, ANTONIO GILTON, AND WORK WITH AN ASSOCIATE OF

CDP, JEFF HUNTER, AS A MINOR IN A MARIJUANA DISPENSARY, WHICH

ACCORDING TO SOURCE REPORTING IS ALSO A CENTER FOR

PROSTITUTION.

THAT'S THE KIND OF PARENTING THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT

HERE. SO MS. GILTON, OF COURSE, ENDS UP -- AND I'M TALKING

ABOUT LETICIA GILTON ENDS UP IN A BAD SITUATION WITH CALVIN

SNEED, IS OUR BELIEF AND UNDERSTANDING THAT THE EVIDENCE WOULD

BEAR OUT.

ON MAY 25TH, LUPE MERCADO DOES GO TO LOS ANGELES. ON THE EARLY MORNING OF MAY 27TH -- AND I'M SORRY THIS IS LAST

YEAR -- OF 2012, BARRY GILTON FLIES DOWN. THIS IS AFTER A

NUMBER OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN MS. MERCADO AND

BARRY GILTON. HE FLIES DOWN TO LOS ANGELES. THEY -- THERE -
THEIR TELEPHONE COMMUNICATIONS STOP BETWEEN EACH OTHER

RIGHT -- RIGHT AFTER THE TIME THAT MR. GILTON LANDS AT LAX

AFTER FLYING OUT OF SFO, THUS TENDING TO INDICATE THAT FROM

THAT POINT ON, THEY ARE TOGETHER.

FROM THAT POINT ON, THEY ARE BOTH CALLING A NUMBER OF

DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS TO INCLUDE THE HAPPY DAYS DISPENSARY AND

OTHER INDIVIDUALS -- EXCUSE ME -- IN -- DOWN IN THE

LOS ANGELES AREA AND SO ON.

LATE ON MAY 27TH, CALVIN SNEED IS SHOT OUTSIDE OF THE HAPPY DAYS DISPENSARY. IT IS WITHIN A COUPLE HOURS AFTER THAT SHOOTING THAT BARRY GILTON AND LUPE MERCADO, ACCORDING TO THEIR PHONE RECORDS, JETTED OUT OF LOS ANGELES AND DRIVE ALL NIGHT TO GET TO SAN FRANCISCO BY THE FOLLOWING MORNING WHERE THEY ARE THEN BOTH IN BACK UP IN SAN FRANCISCO, THEIR WORK IN

LOS ANGELES DONE.

NOW, MR. TAMBURELLO IS CORRECT IN TERMS OF EYEWITNESSES TO THE SHOOTING DOWN IN LOS ANGELES. I WILL HAPPILY CONCEDE THAT. BUT THEY BOTH GO DOWN TO L.A. -- WELL, I'M SORRY. LUPE MERCADO GOES DOWN TO L.A. SHE CAN'T GET DONE WHAT SHE NEEDS TO GET DONE. SHE AND BARRY ARE TALKING. BARRY FLIES DOWN. CALVIN SNEED GETS SHOT UP, AND WITHIN A FEW HOURS, THEY'RE DRIVING BACK TO SAN FRANCISCO, MISSION ACCOMPLISHED.

NOW WHAT'S ALSO SIGNIFICANT ABOUT THIS IS I, LIKE

MR. TAMBURELLO, TALKED ABOUT HOW MS. MERCADO COOPERATED WITH

THE POLICE. SHE CLEARLY TOLD THEM CERTAIN THINGS. WELL, WHAT

HE'S LEFT OUT -- AND I'LL GET TO MORE OF THIS AT THE END -- IS

SHE LIES TO THE POLICE ABOUT A NUMBER OF THINGS, AND -- AND

THAT'S -- YOU KNOW, HE MADE A BIG DEAL WITH ACCESSORY AFTER

THE FACT.

WELL, WE, OF COURSE, CHARGE ALL THE CRIMES THAT WE'RE ABLE
TO SEE AND CHARGE. AND WHEN SOMEONE NOT ONLY PARTICIPATES IN
THE CRIME BUT LATER ON TRIES TO COVER IT UP, NOT JUST FOR
THEMSELVES, BUT ALSO FOR OTHERS, WE CHARGE ACCESSORY AFTER THE
FACT.

SO MS. MERCADO, WHEN SHE'S INTERVIEWED BY THE POLICE ABOUT THIS, DENIES THAT BARRY GILTON GOES DOWN TO THE L.A. WITH HER. THAT IS SIGNIFICANT. SHE DENIES THAT BARRY GILTON IS IN L.A. AT THE TIME THAT CALVIN SNEED IS SHOT, WHICH IS, OF COURSE, SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE IF THEY'RE JUST DOWN THERE LOOKING FOR

THEIR DAUGHTER, THEN WHY WOULDN'T YOU TELL THE POLICE HE CAME

DOWN THERE TO HELP ME LOOK FOR THE DAUGHTER. SHE DOESN'T TELL

HIM THAT BECAUSE SHE DOESN'T WANT THE POLICE TO KNOW THAT

BARRY GILTON IS DOWN THERE AT THE SAME TIME THAT CALVIN SNEED

GETS SHOT AT.

AND I DON'T KNOW IF I SAID "SHOT" BEFORE. THE BULLETS

DON'T HIT HIM. THEY HIT THE CAR, AND HE GETS INJURED BY THE

GLASS.

SO ON JUNE 3RD, WE FAST-FORWARD. CALVIN SNEED BRINGS

LETICIA GILTON UP TO SAN FRANCISCO AND BETWEEN THE LATE NIGHT

OF JUNE 4TH AND THE EARLY MORNING IS WHEN THE MURDER OCCURS.

I WON'T GET -- INTO, YOU KNOW, ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, BUT WHAT I

CAN TELL THE COURT IS THERE IS -- WITH RESPECT TO MS. MERCADO,

THERE IS A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF TELEPHONIC COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN

HERSELF AND, WHEN HE LEAVES THE HOME, BARRY GILTON AROUND THE

TIME LEADING UP TO THE MURDER.

NOW, ACCORDING TO WITNESSES, THERE IS -- THEY GET BACK

FROM VISITING THEIR GRANDMOTHER TO A RESIDENCE WHICH I THINK

MAY BE THE SISTER'S RESIDENCE -- ONE OF THE PLACES I KNOW WAS

MENTIONED IN THE PRETRIAL SERVICES WHICH IS THIS 35 JENNINGS

STREET, WHICH IS WHERE THEY WERE STAYING AT THE TIME THAT THE

MURDER OCCURRED.

AND THERE IS BASICALLY A FIGHT BETWEEN LETICIA GILTON AND
THE PARENTS BECAUSE LETICIA GILTON WANTS TO LEAVE WITH CALVIN
SNEED AND GO BACK DOWN TO LOS ANGELES, AND THEY DON'T WANT HER

TO.

AS A RESULT, THEY DON'T CALL THE POLICE AND SAY, HEY,

THERE'S A GUY -- THE GUY THAT -- YOU KNOW, THAT -- THAT LUPE

MERCADO HAD PREVIOUSLY REPORTED IN JUNE IS UP HERE AND HE'S

COMING TO GET OUR DAUGHTER AND, YOU KNOW, WE WANT YOU TO DO

SOMETHING ABOUT IT. SHE'S A MINOR, COME AND TAKE CARE OF

THIS, COME HELP US.

INSTEAD, THEY CALL WHO THEY WANT TO TAKE CARE OF THE SITUATION. THEY BUNGLED IT IN LOS ANGELES, AND SO THEY CALL OTHER MEMBERS OF CDP TO INCLUDE THE LEADER ALFONSO WILLIAMS AND ANTONIO GILTON, WHO I'D PREVIOUSLY TALKED ABOUT, WAS THE INDIVIDUAL -- ONE OF THE TWO INDIVIDUALS THAT THEY THOUGHT WAS A GOOD IDEA TO SEND LETICIA TO LIVE WITH IN LOS ANGELES.

SO BARRY GILTON LEAVES THE RESIDENCE AFTER TELLING LETICIA
BASICALLY IF YOU THINK YOU'RE GROWN, THEN, YOU KNOW, TURN THE
LIGHT OFF WHEN YOU LEAVE. BASICALLY, YOU KNOW, LAY SOME BAIT,
GO GET THE GUY TO COME PICK YOU UP WHO WE WANT TO TAKE CARE
OF.

BARRY GILTON -- AGAIN, RATHER THAN CALLING THE POLICE,

BARRY GILTON LEAVES THE RESIDENCE, AND ACCORDING -- BETWEEN

PHONE RECORDS AND VIDEO OUTSIDE OF 1458 GROVE STREET, WHICH IS

SORT OF THE HEADQUARTERS OF CDP IF YOU WILL AND ALSO A

RESIDENCE ATTACHED TO ALFONSO WILLIAMS, BARRY GILTON MEETS

WITH ALFONSO WILLIAMS AND ANTONIO GILTON.

THEY GET A GUN OUT OF ONE VEHICLE, AND THEY GET INTO

ANTONIO GILTON'S VEHICLE, A SILVER SUV WITH ROOF RACK. THEY
THEN, ACCORDING TO PHONE RECORDS, TRAVEL BACK TO THE AREA OF
THE RESIDENCE.

AT 1:57 A.M. THERE'S A CALL FROM LUPE MERCADO TO BARRY

GILTON AND BY 2:02 A.M., CALVIN SNEED HAS PULLED UP NEAR THE

RESIDENCE. LETICIA GILTON TRIES TO WARN HIM TO GET OUT OF

THERE BECAUSE SHE KNOWS SOMETHING IS -- BAD IS ABOUT TO

HAPPEN. AND A SILVER SUV WITH A ROOF RACK PULLS UP NEXT TO

CALVIN SNEED'S VEHICLE AND SHOTS ARE FIRED.

THERE'S FOUR SHELL CASING (SIC), FOUR EXPENDED 40-ROUND -.40 CALIBER SHELL CASINGS AT THE SCENE IN THE ROAD. THERE'S
ALSO AN UNFIRED FULL 9-MILLIMETER ROUND, TENDING TO INDICATE
TWO SHOOTERS BUT ONE OF THEM HAD PROBLEMS WITH THEIR FIREARM.

THAT VEHICLE RACES OFF. THERE'S VIDEO OF THE VEHICLE

AROUND THE CORNER. AND THEN SURE ENOUGH, BACK AT 1458 GROVE,

BETWEEN PHONE RECORDS AND THE VIDEO, THEY -- THE THREE MEN GO

THERE AND THEY THEN DISPERSE. BARRY GILTON GOES BACK HOME.

ON THE SCENE, LETICIA GILTON HAS RUN UP TO THE CAR AND,
ACCORDING TO WITNESSES THERE, SHE'S FREAKING OUT, AND SHE'S
YELLING, "THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO DO HIM LIKE THAT."

BARRY GILTON IS INTERVIEWED AT HIS HOME, AND HE LIES

ABOUT -- BY SAYING THAT HE DIDN'T GO OUT THAT NIGHT, A CLEAR

LIE. AT THE STATION, THE PARENTS GO TO THE STATION, LUPE

MERCADO AND BARRY GILTON. AND FOR UNDERSTANDABLE REASONS,

LETICIA GILTON IS NOT COMFORTED BY THEIR APPEARANCE. SHE

SCREAMS AT THEM AND TELLS THEM TO GET OUT.

BARRY GILTON LATER IS INTERVIEWED AND DENIES THAT HE WENT

OUT THAT NIGHT, THAT HE -- AFTER THEY'D GOTTEN BACK HOME, HE

HAD STAYED IN, CLEAR LIE TO HIDE THE FACT THAT HE AND THE

MEMBERS OF CDP HAD KILLED CALVIN SNEED.

AND BARRY GILTON ALSO DENIES HAVING GONE DOWN TO L.A. WHEN CALVIN SNEED WAS SHOT IN MAY. WHY IS -- ALONG WITH LUPE MERCADO LYING ABOUT IT, WHY IS BARRY GILTON LYING ABOUT IT? WELL, HE'S LYING ABOUT IT BECAUSE WHEN THEY WERE DOWN IN L.A., THEY WERE UP TO NO GOOD.

LUPE MERCADO LIES IN A -- IN A NUMBER OF RESPECTS, AS I'VE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT, ABOUT BARRY GILTON NOT GOING TO L.A.

AROUND THE TIME OF THE CALVIN SNEED SHOOTING. SHE ALSO LIES ABOUT WHO SHE SENT LETICIA GILTON TO LIVE WITH BY LEAVING ANTONIO GILTON SORT OF OUT OF THE PICTURE BY CLAIMING HE DIDN'T LIVE IN -- HE WASN'T STAYING THERE THEN.

SHE DIDN'T SEND HIM (SIC) TO STAY WITH ANTONIO GILTON

BECAUSE HE'S A GANG BANGER AND ALSO HAD JUST BEEN PARTICIPATED

IN THIS MURDER OF A RATHER -- IT WAS JUST AMBER SHE WAS GOING

TO STAY WITH. AND SHE ALSO DENIES THAT SHE -- SHE SAYS SHE

COULDN'T REMEMBER WHAT HAD HAPPENED THE NIGHT THAT CALVIN

SNEED GOT KILLED AND WHERE SHE WAS AND WHAT WAS GOING ON.

SO THAT'S KIND OF THE -- THE BASIC OUTLINE. I'M NOT EVEN
GOING TO GET INTO ANY PARTICULAR SOURCE REPORTING, AND I'M NOT
GOING TO THROW ANY NAMES OUT THERE. I -- I CAN GET INTO THAT

IF THE COURT THINKS THAT IT'S IMPORTANT OR NECESSARY, BUT I

DON'T THINK WE EVEN -- FOR NOW, WE'LL ROLL WITHOUT IT, AND -
AND I THINK THE COURT KNOWS WHAT I'M -- WHAT I'M TALKING

ABOUT.

SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS BASICALLY AND -- AND I -- I -- I
DON'T WANT TO GET PERSONAL, BUT YOU HAVE HORRENDOUS PARENTING
IN THE OUTSET OF THIS SITUATION, SO THE NOTION THAT, YOU KNOW,
CHILDREN NEED TO BE SENT TO LIVE WITH DANGEROUS INDIVIDUALS
AND THEN GET PARENTAL PERMISSION TO ILLEGALLY DISPENSE
NARCOTICS, THAT THAT -- THAT'S THE KIND OF PARENTING THAT SHE
NEEDS TO BE LOOSE TO CONTINUE TO DO, I THINK IS EXTREMELY
PROBLEMATIC FROM MS. MERCADO'S STANDPOINT.

EXCUSE ME.

THERE WAS A LOT OF TALK LAST TIME AND THERE'S TALK AGAIN
BY MR. TAMBURELLO ABOUT THE COURT'S ORDER IN THE -- FOLLOWING
THE PRELIM. I MEAN, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A SITUATION HERE
WHERE NOT ONLY HAS A GRAND JURY FOUND PROBABLE CAUSE BUT A
COURT -- A SEPARATE COURT HAS INDEPENDENTLY FOUND PROBABLE
CAUSE.

MR. TAMBURELLO LAST TIME CHARACTERIZED IT AS ALL KINDS OF DANCING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF DANCING HE'S TALKING ABOUT. I'VE SEEN SOME DANCING -- AND I COULD PASS THIS UP TO THE COURT IF THE COURT WANTS. THIS IS THE COURT'S ORDER. IT IS -- IT IS STRAIGHTFORWARD. AND IT -- WITHOUT COMMENTARY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, OTHER THAN TO SAY, HEY, MY JOB HERE IS

1 PROBABLE CAUSE; I'M FINDING PROBABLE CAUSE FROM THE PRELIM. 2 I WENT BACK TO LOOK IT UP AFTER MR. TAMBURELLO 3 CHARACTERIZED IT AS DANCING. IF THE COURT WANTS IT, I CAN 4 HAND IT UP --5 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK I NEED IT. I THINK IT'S --MR. FRENTZEN: THERE'S NO DANCING. 6 7 THE COURT: -- IT'S STIPULATED THAT PROBABLE CAUSE WAS FOUND. THE JUDGE MAY HAVE SAID WHAT HE SAID, AND THERE 8 9 WAS EVIDENCE AND -- YOU KNOW, IT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S A -- YOU 10 KNOW, A TRIABLE CASE. BUT PROBABLE CAUSE WAS FOUND. 11 THERE'S -- AN INDICTMENT WAS ISSUED; THERE'S PROBABLE 12 CAUSE. 13 MR. FRENTZEN: MY -- MY ONLY POINT, YOUR HONOR, 14 WAS -- WAS I THINK IF THE COURT WERE TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT, I 15 DON'T CHARACTERIZE THIS AS ANY KIND OF DANCING WHATSOEVER. 16 IT'S -- IT'S NOT THE JUDGE --17 THE -- THE OTHER THING OBVIOUSLY THAT I'LL TURN TO, WHICH 18 I THINK MR. TAMBURELLO HAS SORT OF THE SKIRTED AROUND IS 19 OBVIOUSLY MS. MERCADO'S RECORD. NOW, YOU KNOW, WHAT WE HAVE 20 HERE IS -- AND I DON'T KNOW. BASED ON THE WAY IT PLAYS OUT IN WHAT'S IN FRONT OF THE COURT IN PRETRIAL SERVICES REPORT, 21 22 THERE ARE OBVIOUSLY INDICATIONS OF PROBATION BEING VIOLATED 23 AND REVOCATIONS, BUT I -- I COUNT A LOT MORE THAN WHAT'S ACTUALLY RECORDED HERE. 24

IN OTHER WORDS, I DON'T KNOW THAT MS. MERCADO MORE THAN

25

ONCE OR TWICE HAS SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED PROBATION. SHE'S

PERPETUALLY GETTING ARRESTED AND GETTING CONVICTED. I WILL -
YOU KNOW, WHAT -- WHAT MR. TAMBURELLO CHARACTERIZES AS

"SHOPLIFTING," SOME OF IT'S SHOPLIFTING, YOUR HONOR. SOME OF

IT'S STRAIGHT UP EMBEZZLEMENT. AND I CAN TELL THE COURT THAT

AT HER TIME AT MACY'S, THAT WAS EMPLOYEE EMBEZZLEMENT THAT WAS

A LITTLE MORE SOPHISTICATED THAN WALKING IN AND WALKING OUT

WITH SOMETHING THAT DOESN'T BELONG TO YOU.

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BEING CAUGHT AFTER SHE WAS SUSPECTED

OF DOING THIS KIND OF THING AND BECAUSE OF A LOT OF ACTIVITY

ON A PARTICULAR MACY'S CARD ON -- AND THIS IS ON MARCH 2ND OF

2006, EMBEZZLEMENT AND GRAND THEFT BY EMPLOYEE, THIS ACTUALLY

INVOLVED A SCHEME BY WHICH INDIVIDUALS WOULD COME IN AND SHE

WOULD OVER-RETURN -- SHE WOULD DO MULTIPLE RETURNS ON A CREDIT

CARD SO THAT THE CREDIT CARD COULD THEN BE USED WITH EXTRA

CREDIT.

THIS WAS, ACCORDING TO THE PEOPLE AT MACY'S AND THE POLICE REPORT, DONE WITH MULTIPLE ASSOCIATES. AND ACCORDING TO ONE OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO WORKED AT THE STORE INVOLVING

MS. MERCADO'S -- AS IT WAS PUT HERE, MS. MERCADO'S CURRENT BOYFRIEND.

WELL, I DON'T HAVE IN THE REPORT HERE YET THAT NAILED DOWN SINCE BASED ON THE PRETRIAL SERVICES, SHE'S BEEN WITH BARRY GILTON SOME 20 YEARS. I'M ASSUMING THAT IN SOME WAY, THIS INVOLVED BARRY GILTON. AGAIN, WE WILL HAVE EVIDENCE OF

MR. GILTON BEING A LONG-TIME SORT OF OG CDP MEMBER.

I CAN ALSO TELL THE COURT THAT WITH RESPECT TO THAT

BATTERY, THAT WAS, IN FACT, LUPE MERCADO THAT WE'VE GOT HERE

IN COURT. I KNOW THAT BECAUSE --

THE COURT: THE BATTERY IN MAY 2009?

MR. FRENTZEN: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THAT INVOLVED

AND I WILL QUICKLY AND -- AND CONCEDE TO THE COURT WHAT

HAPPENED THERE IS POLICE BASICALLY, I THINK, GOT FRUSTRATED

HEARING TWO SIDES ONCE THEY SHOWED UP ON SCENE, SO THEY ENDED

UP CITING EVERYBODY INVOLVED, WHICH, AS I THINK THE COURT

KNOWS, THOSE CASES DON'T MOVE FORWARD VERY OFTEN WHEN THE

POLICE JUST GET TIRED AND THEY CITE EVERYBODY.

AND THE THING KIND OF GOES BECAUSE BOTH SIDES ARE MAKING COMPLAINTS AGAINST EACH OTHER BY THE END OF IT. BUT WHAT I WILL TELL THE COURT IS TROUBLING IS THAT IT'S NOT MS. MERCADO AND THE FOLKS WITH HER WHO CONTACT THE POLICE IN THAT INCIDENT. IT'S ACTUALLY THE OTHER SIDE WHO -- WHO BRINGS THE POLICE, IS MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE SITUATION.

AND THE INITIAL COMPLAINT IS THAT MS. MERCADO BUMPED INTO
HER NEIGHBOR WHILE THEY WERE WALKING IN A HALLWAY AND THEN RAN
OVER TO THE NEIGHBOR WHEN THE NEIGHBOR WAS IN A CAR, GRABBED
HER BY THE HAIR AND STARTED BEATING ON HER. OTHER FAMILY
MEMBERS GET INVOLVED.

I CAN TELL THE COURT THAT LETICIA GILTON IS ONE OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO COMES OVER AND STARTS -- YOU KNOW, JUMPS INTO

THIS FIGHT. SO HERE WE HAVE MOM, YOU KNOW, LEADING DAUGHTER
ON TO A -- YOU KNOW, BASICALLY FISTICUFFS IN THE PARKING LOT.

AND THE OTHER TROUBLING PART IS THERE'S AN INDIVIDUAL BY
THE NAME OF VICTOR JONES, WHO IS A CDP MEMBER, WHO SHOWS UP
AND, AT LEAST ACCORDING TO THE VICTIM, MR. JONES IS SORT OF
TRYING TO BREAK UP THE FIGHT. BUT HE BASICALLY GOES TO THE -TO THE VICTIM OF MS. MERCADO'S BATTERY AND SAYS, IF YOU DON'T
GET OUT OF HERE, YOU'RE GOING TO GET KILLED. AND THAT'S
BECAUSE MS. MERCADO IS SORT OF ASSOCIATED OR CLICKED UP WITH
THE FOLKS SHE'S CLICKED UP WITH.

SO THAT'S THE -- THAT'S THE BATTERY.

AND BY MY COUNT, SHE'S INCAPABLE, ALMOST INCAPABLE OF FOLLOWING PROBATION BECAUSE SHE JUST CONTINUES TO PERPETUALLY VIOLATE. MOST OF THE VIOLATIONS ARE JUST BY GOING OUT AND COMMITTING NEW CRIMES.

AND IT'S A LITTLE MORE SERIOUS THAN SHOP LIFTING. AND

ALSO POINT OUT -- I MEAN, SHE'S A -- THESE ARE NOT JUST

MISDEMEANORS. THERE ARE FELONY CONVICTIONS IN HERE. I THINK

THREE. SHE'S A THREE-TIME FELON.

WHATEVER, YOU KNOW, WE THINK OF THE UNDERLYING CRIME, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THINKS ENOUGH TO HAVE HER BE A THREE-TIME FELON WITHOUT GOING AHEAD AND COUNTING MISDEMEANORS. SO THIS IS NO -- THIS IS NO SMALL RECORD, AND IT'S CERTAINLY HABITUAL.

THE COURT: I THINK IT'S FOUR, ACTUALLY.

MR. FRENTZEN: FOUR FELONIES? THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR,

I MISSED ONE.

SO THE -- THE ONLY OTHER ISSUE I WANT TO BRING UP, YOUR HONOR, IS JUST WITH RESPECT TO SORT OF THE -- THE OVERALL FLAVOR OF THIS CASE AND THAT'S THIS: THE -- THE INDIVIDUALS THAT MS. MERCADO ASSOCIATES WITH THAT HER HUSBAND IS A MEMBER OF, YOU KNOW, WE'RE FAMILIAR WITH A NUMBER OF THEM. AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THEM WHO ARE PIMPS. ALMOST MOST OF THEM ARE PROBABLY PIMPS.

AND THERE ARE A DISTINCT NUMBER WHERE, THROUGH PRIOR

CONVICTIONS AND/OR CURRENT CHARGES, WE KNOW THEY PIMP OUT

MINORS. THIS IS NOT A SITUATION WHERE WHAT WAS GOING TO

MS. -- WITH MS. GILTON, WHICH WAS CLEARLY A TRAGEDY, OFFENDED

THEIR MORAL FIBER.

THEIR FRIENDS -- THEIR BEST FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES DO THE SAME THING TO MAKE MONEY ON A DAILY BASIS AND TO FEED THE ENTERPRISE. THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE ABOUT. THIS WAS YOU CAN'T DO IT TO OUR PEOPLE. WE CAN DO IT TO YOURS, BUT YOU CAN'T DO IT TO OURS. THAT'S WHAT THIS WAS ABOUT.

AND WITH RESPECT TO THAT ENTERPRISE, I'LL JUST TELL THE COURT, THERE IS A HISTORY OF OBSTRUCTION THAT INCLUDES -- AND I WILL POINT OUT MS. MERCADO'S LIES TO THE POLICE ON HER OWN BEHALF BUT AS WELL, YOU HAVE THIS SITUATION WITH THIS INDIVIDUAL VICTOR JONES, AT LEAST ACCORDING TO THAT PARTICULAR VICTIM, THREATENING TO KILL ON BEHALF OF LUPE MERCADO.

YOU HAVE A SITUATION THAT HAPPENED AT 850 BRYANT THAT THE

COURT MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE HEARD ABOUT SOME YEARS AGO IN A

MURDER CASE OF AN INDIVIDUAL CHARLES HEARD, WHO I UNDERSTAND

MS. MERCADO KNOWS FAIRLY WELL. HE WAS EVENTUALLY CONVICTED OF

MURDER. BUT DURING THE COURSE OF IT, A WITNESS WAS BROUGHT

IN, AND DURING THE COURSE OF ONE OF THOSE HEARINGS, THERE WAS

A DISPLAY WHERE EVERYBODY STOOD UP IN THE COURTROOM,

EVERYBODY -- A BUNCH OF MEMBERS OF CDP IN ORDER TO TRY TO

INTIMIDATE THE WITNESS WHO WAS ON STAND. SOME INDIVIDUALS

WERE EITHER CHARGED OR HAD THEIR PROBATION VIOLATED AS A

RESULT OF THAT.

AND THERE WAS ALSO A DEFENSE INVESTIGATOR GOT IN SOME TROUBLE, SOME OBSTRUCTION STUFF. THAT ALSO WAS A CDP CASE SO THAT THE COURT'S AWARE OF THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS AFRAID OF IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE PEOPLE DOING ON THE OUTSIDE.

AND FINALLY, I'LL JUST SAY CLEARLY, YOU KNOW, MS. -MS. MERCADO DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM GETTING THESE GUYS TO ACT
ON HER BEHALF. AND ALL OF THAT WE THINK, GIVEN THE
PRESUMPTION, MAKES THIS A CASE WHERE DETENTION IS THE ONLY
APPROPRIATE SITUATION.

THE COURT: CAN I -- CAN I ASK YOU THE --

MR. FRENTZEN: SURE.

THE COURT: -- THE PROPOSED -- OR THE -- THAT THE

DEFENDANT-PROFERRED CUSTODIAN, I GUESS, HER MOTHER, WHAT -
HER SISTER LIVES ON JENNINGS. AT THE TIME OF ALL THIS, WHAT

1 HAPPENED? WAS MS. MERCADO LIVING AT JENNINGS WITH HER AT THAT 2 TIME? 3 MR. FRENTZEN: CORRECT. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. 5 MR. FRENTZEN: SO I MEAN, THAT OBVIOUSLY IS A 6 PROBLEM. I MEAN, I CAN GO THROUGH THIS ROUTINE. I -- YOU 7 KNOW, THE COURT'S FAMILIAR WITH IT. BUT THESE ARE THE SAME 8 PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN IN HER LIFE AS SHE'S REPEATEDLY CONTINUED 9 TO REOFFEND, IGNORE HER PROBATION, ET CETERA. AND SO EITHER 10 THEY'RE INEFFECTIVE IN CONTROLLING HER, OR SHE JUST DOESN'T 11 CARE ENOUGH TO LISTEN TO THEM. YOU KNOW, THERE'S NO REASON TO THINK THAT ALL OF A SUDDEN 12 13 YOU KNOW IT'S A BRAND NEW WORLD AND, YOU KNOW, SHE'S GOING TO 14 START LISTENING TO PEOPLE WHO I'M SURE HAVE ALL THE BEST 15 INTENTIONS IN THE WORLD. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 17 MR. TAMBURELLO? MR. TAMBURELLO: YEAH, CUSTODIAN WOULD BE THE MOTHER 18 19 BECAUSE WE DO -- WE'RE SENSITIVE TO THE 35 JENNINGS PLACE, AND 20 I THINK THAT'S CORRECT, SO --THE COURT: BUT THE -- BUT MS. MERCADO'S CHILDREN 21 22 LIVE WITH HER SISTER. 23 MR. TAMBURELLO: AT 35 JENNINGS. THE COURT: RIGHT. AND -- AND THE MOTHER LIVES --24 25 MR. TAMBURELLO: IN VALLEJO.

1 THE COURT: IN VALLEJO. SO YOU'RE ACTUALLY NOT 2 PROPOSING THAT SHE BE LIVING WITH HER CHILDREN. 3 MR. TAMBURELLO: WELL, THE QUESTION IS THIS: RIGHT 4 NOW SHE'S NOT LIVING WITH HER CHILDREN. RIGHT NOW SHE DOESN'T 5 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEE HER CHILDREN. RIGHT NOW SHE 6 DOESN'T HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE HER CHILDREN TO SCHOOL. 7 THE QUESTION OF LIVING WITH HER CHILDREN IS WHETHER OR NOT SHE HAS ACCESS TO BE WITH THEM, YOU KNOW, DURING THE HOLIDAYS, 8 9 ET CETERA. SHE -- SHE DOESN'T HAVE TO BE AT 35 JENNINGS TO BE WITH 10 11 THEM. SHE DESPERATELY WANTS TO BE WITH HER CHILDREN. 12 THERE -- THERE HAS -- THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF THINGS SAID AND A 13 LOT OF IT IS -- THE INTIMIDATION IN THE COURTROOM WITH CHARLES HEARD. MS. MERCADO WASN'T THERE. SHE HAS (SIC) NOTHING ABOUT 14 15 THAT. SHE HAS NOT A CLUE. EVEN HER HUSBAND WASN'T THERE. 16 THIS -- THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT SHE IS A GANG MEMBER. SHE -- SHE KNOWS PEOPLE, SO IF YOU KNOW SOMEBODY, ACCORDING TO 17 18 MR. FRENTZEN --THE COURT: WELL, IS -- IS BARRY GILTON A GANG 19 20 MEMBER? MR. TAMBURELLO: NO, NOT AT ALL. HE IS NOT A GANG 21 22 MEMBER. 23 THE COURT: SHE JUST -- AND ANTONIO GILTON? MR. TAMBURELLO: THAT, I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW 24

ANYTHING ABOUT HIM. BUT LET ME SAY THIS ABOUT ANTONIO GILTON

25

1 AND AMBER HERNANDEZ. LETICIA WENT TO LIVE WITH AMBER 2 HERNANDEZ AND HER CHILDREN. I'M AWARE THAT AMBER HERNANDEZ 3 HAS A SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH ANTONIO GILTON. SHE HAD CHILDREN, TWO BEAUTIFUL TWINS AND ALL OF THAT, BUT HE'S NOT 4 5 THERE --THE COURT: BUT HE'S --6 7 MR. TAMBURELLO: -- ON A REGULAR BASIS. THE COURT: YOU SAID SHE WENT TO LIVE WITH AMBER AND 8 9 HER CHILDREN, BUT THE PARENTS -- THE FATHER OF THE CHILDREN IS ANTONIO GILTON? 10 11 MR. TAMBURELLO: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT. AND -- WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE IS MR. FRENTZEN IS DOING A --12 13 SHE'S A BAD PARENT. THE COURT: I DON'T -- I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY 14 15 JUDGMENT ABOUT WHETHER --16 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.) 17 MR. TAMBURELLO: -- YOUR HONOR, IT'S COMPLETELY 18 SPOILING EVERYTHING. IT'S -- IT SEEPS IN IN EVERY WAY. IT'S 19 A JUDGMENT CALL. 20 LETICIA GILTON -- LETICIA GILTON HAD PROBLEMS. SHE DID, AND SHE WAS HAVING DIFFICULTIES. AND THEY -- SHE WANTED TO 21 22 LEAVE AND GO TO LOS ANGELES. AMBER GIL- -- AMBER HERNANDEZ 23 WAS THE ONE PERSON THAT THEY KNEW THAT WAS THERE WHO OFFERED 24 TO HAVE HER LIVE WITH HER.

I -- MR. FRENTZEN KNOWS THIS FAMILY DOESN'T HAVE A LOT OF

25

MONEY. AND SO THEY CAN'T SIMPLY SAY, OH, OKAY, GO AHEAD. YOU KNOW, WE'LL GET YOU A LITTLE STUDIO IN BEL AIR. I MEAN, THAT'S NOT THE CASE.

THE COURT: BUT, MR. TAMBURELLO, THIS IS THE REAL CONCERN, IS IF YOU LOOK AT THE RECORD, IS THAT MS. MERCADO SEEMS UNWILLING OR UNABLE TO COMPLY WITH WHEN SHE IS PUT UNDER CONDITIONS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE A HISTORY HERE WHERE SHE WAS REPEATEDLY PLACED ON PROBATION IN LIEU OF JAIL TIME AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATED THAT PROBATION, THE MOST RECENT BEING JUST MAY OF 2012 BEFORE THIS -- WHERE SHE WAS GIVEN JAIL TIME.

SO WHAT IS THERE THAT SUGGESTS IF SHE RELEASED THAT

SUDDENLY SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS OF

RELEASE WHEN SHE HADN'T BEEN ABLE TO DO SO BEFORE ALTHOUGH,

ADMITTEDLY THEY WERE FOR NON-VIOLENT CRIMES.

MR. TAMBURELLO: ALL RIGHT. LET ME -- LET ME ADDRESS

THAT. THE SAN JOSE CASE. SAN JOSE CASE WAS SHE DIDN'T

VIOLATE CONDITIONS OF PROBATION AS MR. FRENTZEN SAYS IN A

WAY -- AND, OF COURSE, IT'S INTERESTING. WHEN -- WHEN YOU

HAVE A U.S. ATTORNEY CALLING UP AND ASKING FOR A PROBATION

OFFICER, YOU HAVE LAW ENFORCEMENT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT.

HER VIOLATION WAS SHE FAILED TO PUT IN THE NUMBER OF HOURS
FOR HER COMMUNITY SERVICE. THE REASON SHE FAILED TO DO THAT
IS BECAUSE THE PROOF OF THAT WAS TAKEN DURING THE SEARCH AND
HER ARREST. SHE COMPLETED THE HOURS. THAT'S ALL SHE NEEDED
TO DO, AND SHE WOULD HAVE BEEN IN FULL COMPLIANCE.

THE ONE IN SAN MATEO, WAS SHE WAS IN CUSTODY AND DIDN'T

REPORT TO THE COURTS. THAT WAS THE PROBLEM. SO THOSE TWO

THINGS -- THAT'S WHY I SAY I'M -- I'M FEELING A LOT BETTER

ABOUT BEING ABLE TO RESOLVE THOSE MATTERS.

YOU KNOW, I -- I DON'T HAVE THE -- THE KIND OF AUTHORITY

THAT AN AUSA HAS WITH PROBATION, BUT I THINK I CAN RESOLVE IT.

NONETHELESS, THE BATTERY THAT WAS SO WELL PUT FORTH, THAT

BATTERY WAS ONLY A DETENTION -- AND MATTER OF FACT,

MS. MERCADO SUED THE PEOPLE IN SMALL CLAIMS COURT, GOT A

BATTERY WAS ONLY A DETENTION -- AND MATTER OF FACT,

MS. MERCADO SUED THE PEOPLE IN SMALL CLAIMS COURT, GOT A

JUDGMENT, AND THE PEOPLE WERE ELIMINATED FROM THAT APARTMENT

BECAUSE THEY WERE FOUND TO BE AT FAULT. NOT MS. MERCADO.

THAT'S THE BACK STORY ON THAT.

WITH RESPECT -- WITH RESPECT TO THE EMBEZZLEMENT, IT WAS A MISDEMEANOR. IT WASN'T THIS LONG, HIGH-FALUTING MAJOR FELONY THAT MR. FRENTZEN'S TALKING ABOUT. SHE DOES HAVE A PROBLEM.

I -- I CLEARLY SAY THAT.

WHAT THE -- WHAT'S THE CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT SHE'S A DANGER IF RELEASED? I -- I HAVEN'T HEARD IT YET.

SHE'S NOT A DANGER. SHE'S NEVER BEEN A DANGER. WHY IS SHE ALL OF A SUDDEN A DANGER? IS IT BECAUSE OF THE CHARGES? OR IS IT BECAUSE SHE IS CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN PEOPLE? I DON'T THINK THAT RISES TO THE LEVEL OF CLEAR-AND-CONVINCING EVIDENCE. I -- I DON'T -- I DON'T SEE THAT MS. MERCADO HAS PRESENTED IN HER LIFETIME DANGER.

THE BATTERY, THE BATTERY IS BOGUS. SO THERE'S -- THERE'S

NO VIOLENCE. THE PROBATION SHE HAS HAD A NUMBER OF ARRESTS

FOR THAT. WE'LL CONCEDE THAT BECAUSE IT'S REAL. SHE'S GOT A

PROBLEM.

BUT DOES THAT MEAN THAT SHE CANNOT FOLLOW THIS COURT'S ORDERS AND THE CONDITIONS? I DON'T THINK SO. I --

THE COURT: WELL, EXCEPT THAT SHE HASN'T IN THE PAST,
RIGHT? SHE'S BEEN GIVEN OPPORTUNITIES. SHE'S BEEN PLACED ON
PROBATION. EVEN WHEN FELONIES -- EVEN WITH REPEATED FELONIES
AND THEN VIOLATED THOSE CONDITIONS.

MR. TAMBURELLO: WELL, I SUGGEST THAT THE COURT CAN FASHION SOME CONDITIONS, A CUSTODIAN, ELECTRONIC MONITORING.

THOSE ARE CONDITIONS THAT SHE'S NEVER HAD BEFORE.

AND -- AND I THINK THAT THEY WILL ACTUALLY HAVE A VERY
IMPORTANT IMPACT, NOT TO MENTION SHE'S BEEN IN CUSTODY FOR A
YEAR AND A HALF. I THINK SHE'S -- SHE GETS IT. IT'S THE
LONGEST TIME SHE'S EVER BEEN IN CUSTODY.

AND -- AND -- AND I -- AND I HAVE TO SAY, THERE'S -THERE'S A LOT OF -- THERE'S A LOT OF GUILT BY ASSOCIATION IN
ALL OF THIS, AND -- AND I CAN'T BEGIN TO TELL YOU THE TRAGEDY
OF LETICIA GETTING INVOLVED IN THE SITUATION HAS -- HAS REALLY
CAUSED HER TO BE VERY UPSET ABOUT HER DAUGHTER. THIS WAS -THIS WAS PORTRAYED IN STATE COURT AS A PERSONAL MATTER.
THAT'S THE WAY THEY PORTRAYED IT.

AND MR. FRENTZEN HAS NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN TURNED IT INTO A GANG CASE, AND THAT'S BECAUSE THEY HAVE DISCOVERED THE VIDEO

THAT MR. FRENTZEN TALKED ABOUT. AND ALL OF A SUDDEN, IT'S BECOME A GANG CASE. MS. MERCADO KNEW NOTHING ABOUT THAT VIDEO. MS. MERCADO HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THAT.

SHE -- SHE MADE A PHONE CALL AT 1:57. THAT'S BASICALLY
WHERE SHE'S ASKING HER HUSBAND'S WHEREABOUTS 'CAUSE SHE
THOUGHT HE WAS HOME. WHY IS IT THAT IT HAS TO BE A SINISTER
CRIMINAL MOTIVE? THAT'S WHAT MR. FRENTZEN HAS PROFERRED THAT
THAT PARTICULAR PHONE CALL IS HER AIDING AND ABETTING.

IT WAS BAIT TO TURN THE LIGHT OFF AND HAVE HIM COME, AND THEN MS. -- MS. MERCADO MAKES THE PHONE CALL. THAT'S HIS WHOLE THEORY. IT'S NO RECORDING OF THE PHONE CALL. WE DON'T KNOW THE CONTENTS OF IT. PROSECUTION HAS NO IDEA WHAT THE CONTENTS ARE.

WHY DOES IT HAVE TO BE INFERRED AS A CIRCUMSTANTIAL PIECE OF EVIDENCE THAT IT IS CRIMINAL IN NATURE? YOU KNOW, THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE DOES COME IN HERE WHEN YOU HAVE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. AND WHEN YOU HAVE CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE LIKE A 1:57 PHONE CALL, IF THE INTERPRETATION AND INFERENCES CAN BE INNOCENT OR CRIMINAL, IT'S REALLY -- THE CONCEPT IS THAT YOU -- YOU LOOK AT IT FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND THAT IT IS NON-CRIMINAL IN NATURE, UNLESS IT'S CLEARLY SOMETHING THAT IS LIKE A RECORDING THAT SUPPORTS FRENTZEN'S STATEMENT.

THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT. I

OFTEN -- JURIES ARE, OF COURSE, INSTRUCTED THAT DIRECT AND

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE ARE TO BE GIVEN EQUAL WEIGHT. AND SOME PEOPLE WOULD SAY CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IS ACTUALLY STRONGER BECAUSE DIRECT EVIDENCE, SUCH AS EYEWITNESS, IS OFTEN THE WEAKEST OR THE MOST PRONE, SO I DON'T KNOW THAT I AGREE WITH THAT. AND, AGAIN, WE'RE DEALING WITH A FINDING OF PROBABLE CAUSE TWICE HERE.

BUT, MR. FRENTZEN, LET ME ASK YOU THIS ABOUT WHAT'S THE PROFFER WITH RESPECT TO BARRY GILTON BEING A MEMBER OF THE GANG?

MR. FRENTZEN: WE HAVE SOURCE REPORTING ALONG WITH PHOTOGRAPHS, ALONG WITH CERTAIN VIDEOS, ALONG WITH -- WHEN I SAY "SOURCE REPORTING," I'M REFERRING IT -- TO IT IN THAT MANNER. NOW, THESE ARE TESTIFYING WITNESSES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND --

MR. TAMBURELLO: COULD I SAY SOMETHING? VIDEOS OF

HIM BEING IN A CERTAIN LOCATION? MR. BARRY GILTON -- AND

MR. FRENTZEN KNOWS THIS -- PLAYS BASKETBALL AND AS -- AT ONE

TIME WAS A STAR BASKETBALL PLAYER AND HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO

ON TO COLLEGE BECAUSE OF HIS ABILITIES IN THE BASKETBALL

COURT.

AND THE CENTER -- 1458 GROVE STREET IS ALSO A CENTER FOR WHEN PEOPLE MEET AND THEY GO AND THEY PLAY GAMES. THAT'S -- HIS ASSOCIATION IS THAT HE KNOWS THESE PEOPLE BUT NOT IN A SENSE OF BEING A GANG MEMBER, BUT, RATHER, THROUGH BASKETBALL AND HAS KNOWN THEM FOR A LONG TIME. IT'S -- IT'S A VERY

DIFFERENT SITUATION.

THE SOURCE -- THE SOURCE --

MR. FRENTZEN: YOUR HONOR, IF I CAN JUST RESPOND TO
THAT? I'M SORRY. I THINK, THE COURT WAS DIRECTING THAT TO
ME, AND IF MR. TAMBURELLO WANTS TO GO ON ABOUT THAT, I'LL JUST
TELL THE COURT, VIDEO'S NOT ABOUT PLAYING BASKETBALL. IT'S
ABOUT KILLING SNITCHES.

MR. TAMBURELLO: IS IT WITH BARRY GILTON THERE?

MR. FRENTZEN: YES, SIR.

MR. TAMBURELLO: WELL --

(OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. FRENTZEN: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER, MR. TAMBURELLO?

ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. WELL, I APPRECIATE THE ARGUMENTS

OF -- COUNSEL HAS MADE, AND MS. MERCADO AND -- AND TO BE

HONEST, ANY TIME YOU HAVE A SITUATION WITH A DEFENDANT WHO,

YOU KNOW, HAS MINOR CHILDREN AT HOME, I TAKE THIS MOTION VERY

SERIOUSLY.

BUT BASED ON WHAT'S BEEN PROFERRED, I DO FIND THAT

MS. MERCADO, THE GOVERNMENT HAS SHOWN THAT THERE'S A

PREPONDERANCE IN (SIC) THE EVIDENCE THAT SHE'S A RISK OF

NON-APPEARANCE. AND THAT IS BASED IN LARGE PART ON HER

RECORD, AND THAT SHE'S -- SOMETIMES YOU HAVE, SOMETIMES YOU

DON'T.

HERE, WE HAVE A DEMONSTRATION OF EITHER AN INABILITY OR AN UNWILLINGNESS TO COMPLY WITH THE ORDERS OF THE COURT. AND WHILE I (SIC) GRANTED, I'LL SAY THERE WASN'T A HISTORY OF VIOLENCE, THE -- THIS GOES WITH IN TERMS OF JUST APPEARANCE.

AND THE PROPOSED -- WHILE I'M SURE THAT HER MOTHER

WOULD -- IS SINCERE AND GENUINE AND WOULD DO HER BEST, HER

MOTHER'S BEEN HERE AND HASN'T BEEN ABLE TO -- SUCCESSFUL IN

THAT SENSE. AND, OF COURSE, THE JENNINGS, WHERE HER CHILDREN

ARE LIVING, AND HER SISTER HAS KINDLY AND LOVINGLY CARED FOR

HER CHILDREN, THAT'S WHERE SHE WAS LIVING BEFORE WHEN ALL

THESE PROBLEMS -- SO THAT'S NOT AN APPROPRIATE PLACE EITHER.

AND THERE ISN'T ANY VIABLE SURETY AVAILABLE AS AN ADDITIONAL -- AND GIVEN THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE CHARGE AND THE CONSEQUENCE, AND -- THE WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE IS LEAST. AND I AM NOT IN ANY POSITION WHATSOEVER TO MAKE ANY JUDGMENT AS TO WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE BASED ON WHAT'S HERE TODAY. I HAVEN'T HAD A PRELIMINARY HEARING, AND THAT'S NOT MY ROLE. AND I -- TWO COURT WERE -- A GRAND JURY AND A COURT HAVE NOW FOUND PROBABLE CAUSE.

AND WITH RESPECT TO A DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY, IT IS A HIGHER STANDARD. IT'S CLEAR AND CONVINCING. AND I DO THINK WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS PROFERRED IS SUFFICIENT TO MEET THAT BURDEN HERE. ALTHOUGH IT'S DISPUTED, I UNDERSTAND THAT. I THINK GIVEN WHAT'S THERE THAT'S SUFFICIENT TO FIND THAT THE NATURE OF THE ALLEGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO -- ALTHOUGH

```
1
      MS. MERCADO'S NOT A MEMBER OF THE GANG, AND THAT HASN'T BEEN
 2
      PROFERRED, ASSOCIATED WITH IT AND THE NATURE AND THE EVIDENCE
 3
      AS TO WHAT WAS SAID AND HAPPENED IN LOS ANGELES.
 4
           SO I'M GOING TO REMAND MS. MERCADO TO THE CUSTODY OF THE
 5
      U.S. MARSHALS, AND I'LL WRITE SOMETHING.
 6
           ALL RIGHT.
 7
                MR. FRENTZEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 8
                THE COURT: THANK YOU.
 9
           COULD YOU SUBMIT A PROPOSED ORDER?
10
                MR. FRENTZEN: I WILL, YOUR HONOR. I'LL RUN IT BY
11
      MR. TAMBURELLO --
12
                THE COURT: OKAY.
13
                MR. FRENTZEN: -- AND I'LL SUBMIT IT TO THE COURT.
14
      THANK YOU.
15
                THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
16
               MR. TAMBURELLO: ALL RIGHT.
17
                (PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED AT 10:29 A.M.)
                                  --000--
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION OF ELECTRONIC RECORDING I, RAYNEE H. MERCADO, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, OF THE ABOVE PAGES, OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING PROVIDED TO ME BY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN ON THE DATE AND TIME PREVIOUSLY STATED IN THE ABOVE MATTER. RAYNEE H. MERCADO TUESDAY, MARCH 25, 2014